

**Minutes of Extra-ordinary Meeting of Long Sutton Parish Council**  
**Held at the Village Hall on Tuesday, 7<sup>th</sup> August 2018 at 7.30pm**

**Present:** Councillors: Mr G R Cox (Chairman), Mr T Brand, Mr T P Shire, Mrs E Elliott, and Mr M Turpin District Councillor Mr G Tucker and 11 members of the public.

**Apologies for Absence:** Councillor Mr G Stoddart-Stones

**1. Declarations of interest:** - None

**2. Consideration of the following planning application:**

**Application No: 18/01981/FUL Erection of 4 detached holiday lets with associated parking and landscaping. Land South of Southview, Longmarsh Lane, Upton.**

The Chairman invited members of the public to address the PC. Among the objections raised was that the land was agricultural land liable to flooding and this gave rise to drainage and sewage issues. The site was in close proximity to Longmarsh Livery. Concern was expressed regarding access and the increase in traffic and it was also felt that LS already had sufficient holiday lets.

The applicant gave the background for the application and said that the business plan which had just been produced and was not presently available to the PC, would answer some of the concerns raised.

The PC then went into meeting. Discussion culminated in it being unanimously resolved that the Parish Council object to the application on the following grounds:

1. **Policy & Location.** The application is building in open countryside more than two miles from any community services and therefore contrary to SSDC Planning Policy.
2. **Business Case.** There is no demonstrable need for such a tourist business development as the PC have not seen the Business Plan. It is felt that there are more than enough holiday bed-spaces in the village to satisfy demand.
3. **Design.** The PC does not support the urban feel to the design of the units. If the Planning Department is minded to support the application it is felt that the units should be of a more rural design sympathetic to a development in a rural location.
4. **Highways.** The PC is of a view that the single track lanes are not suitable for such an increase in regular traffic use, and the junctions onto the main roads from the site are not suitable for such an increase in traffic.
5. **Drainage Management.** Satisfactory sewer and surface water run-off arrangements need to be articulated in more detail by the applicant as the land is subject to flooding.

The meeting closed at 7.55pm